

MINUTES
PIERCE COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING
November 6, 2007

930 Tacoma Avenue South, Room 1045
Tacoma, WA 98402

Voice: (253) 798-7777 – FAX: (253) 798-7509 – Toll-Free: (800) 992-2456 – TDD: (253) 798-4018
www.piercecountywa.org/council

(Note: These minutes are not verbatim. Recorded tapes are available upon request.)

I. Call to Order

The regular meeting of the Pierce County Council was called to order at 3:00 p.m. by Chair Lee.

II. Roll Call

Councilmembers Present: Shawn Bunney, Roger Bush, Calvin Goings, Terry Lee, and Dick Muri; Timothy Farrell arrived after roll call and Barbara Gelman was excused.

Council Staff Present: Anna Graham, Administrator for Research and Policy; Denise Johnson, Clerk of the Council; Susan Long, Administrator for Legal and Clerks; and Hugh Taylor, Senior Legislative Analyst.

There were 20 visitors present.

III. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag

The pledge was led by Lee, followed by a Moment of Silence in tribute to our men and women in the Military.

IV. Approval of Agenda

Goings moved approval of the agenda; motion seconded and passed on a voice vote.

V. Consent Agenda

No final action on any Ordinance or Resolution was taken under this Section.

a. Approval of Minutes

1. The Minutes of the July 17, 2007, Regular Council Meeting
2. The Minutes of the July 24, 2007, District No. 3 Council Meeting
3. The Minutes of the August 7, 2007, Regular Council Meeting

b. Action on Ordinances (refer, set date of hearing)

c. Action on Resolutions (refer, set date of hearing)

1. Proposal No. R2007-124s, A Resolution of the Pierce County Council Accepting, Approving and Ratifying the Execution of a Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement by and between NWC #5, a Washington General Partnership, and Pierce County for the Purchase of Land for Taxiway Construction at Pierce County Airport, Thun Field; and Authorizing the Executive to Enter into Said Agreement.

Sponsored by: Councilmember Roger Bush

Department contact person: Michael Esher, Public Works and Utilities,
(253) 798-7109

Council contact persons: Paul Bocchi, (235) 798-7304; and
Hugh Taylor, (253) 798-7109

Committee recommendation: Do Pass as Substituted at Economic and
Infrastructure Development on November 6, 2007

Suggested date of Council hearing: *November 13, 2007

2. Proposal No. R2007-128s, A Resolution of the Pierce County Council Acknowledging Receipt of the Citizens' Advisory Board's Recommendations for Funding the Purchase of Conservation Futures Properties; Authorizing the Pierce County Executive to Negotiate the Purchase of Conservation Futures Properties; and Authorizing the Director of Budget and Finance to Expend Funds for the Purchase Thereof.

Sponsored by: Councilmember Calvin Goings

Department contact person: Paula Felkins, Parks and Recreation Services,
(253) 798-4017

Council contact person: Mike Kruger, (253) 798-6067

Committee recommendation: Do Pass as Substituted at Community
Development on October 29, 2007

Suggested date of Council hearing: November 27, 2007

d. Other Items

1. **Rescind Council's Action of Setting Date of Hearing of November 20, 2007 for the following item:**

Proposal No. R2007-128, A Resolution of the Pierce County Council Acknowledging Receipt of the Citizens' Advisory Board's Recommendations for Funding the Purchase of Conservation Futures Properties; Authorizing the Pierce County Executive to Negotiate the Purchase of Conservation Futures Properties; and Authorizing the Director of Budget and Finance to Expend Funds for the Purchase Thereof.

Goings moved approval of the Consent Agenda; motion seconded and passed on a roll call vote of six to zero.

VI. Messages from Executive/Judges/Prosecuting Attorney

1. A message from the Executive transmitting the following Ordinance, which was approved and signed on October 22, 2007, was read into the record:

Ordinance No. 2007-34s2, An Ordinance of the Pierce County Council Adopting Amendments to Title 20 of the Pierce County Code, "Shoreline Management Use Regulations", Establishing Interim Regulatory Requirements for Geoduck Aquacultural Operations and Other Aquaculture Practices; Modifying Definitions and Permitted Uses; Setting an Effective Date; Providing for the Sunset of the Interim Requirements Upon the Implementation of the Comprehensive Update to the Pierce County Shoreline Master Program; and Adopting Findings of Fact.

VII. Proclamations, Awards, and/or Appointments to Boards and Commissions - None

VIII. Ordinances

Proposal No. 2007-85s, An Ordinance of the Pierce County Council Amending the Pierce County Code, Chapter 18.25, "Definitions," Chapter 18.140, "Compliance," Title 18A, "Pierce County Development Regulations - Zoning," Title 18B, "Pierce County Development Regulations - Signs," Title 18F, "Pierce County Development Regulations - Land Divisions and Boundary Changes," and Title 18J, "Development Regulations - Design Standards and Guidelines"; Addressing the Creation of 9-Lot Short Plats and the Relocation of Billboards Associated with Public Right-of-Way Acquisition; Creating Regulations Addressing Outdoor Stockpiling of Materials for Recycling, Sale or Disposal; Creating a Vegetation Retention Exception for Developments with Limited Size Constraints; and Adopting other Clarifying and Technical Amendments Affecting Various Use Types, Design Standards, and Definitions; Adopting Findings of Fact; and Setting an Effective Date.

Goings moved adoption; motion seconded. The Clerk read the title into the record.

Staff Testimony

Taylor explained the Proposal is the annual update to the County's Development Regulations. Taylor informed the Council how the changes to the Code were identified, and described examples of the changes. Taylor reported the cleanup package was reviewed by the Planning Commission on June 26 and July 24, 2007, and forwarded to the Council with a do pass recommendation. The Proposal was heard in the Community Development Committee on September 17 and September 24, 2007, and was forwarded to the full Council with a do pass as substituted recommendation. Taylor informed the Council that two additional Proposal amendments are needed.

Goings moved approval of Council Amendment No. 1 on blue; motion seconded. A copy of the amendment is identified as Attachment I to these minutes.

Taylor noted that there are changes to various exhibits of the Proposal, not just Exhibit E as stated in the amendment memo. Taylor described the proposed amendments.

Public Testimony

Mike Schuh, on behalf of Miles Sand and Gravel, and Washington Aggregates and Concrete Association, spoke in support of the proposed amendment.

The Chair called for final Council comments; there was no response. The question was called; the motion carried.

Goings moved Council Amendment No. 2 on white; motion seconded. A copy of the amendment is identified as Attachment II to these minutes.

Staff Testimony

Taylor explained the technical amendment.

The Chair called for public testimony on the amendment; there was no response.

The Chair called for final Council comments; there was no response. The question was called; the motion carried.

The Chair called for public testimony on Proposal No. 2007-85s as amended; there was no response.

The question was called; Proposal No. 2007-85s passed as amended on a roll call vote of six to zero.

Proposal No. 2007-109s, An Emergency Ordinance of the Pierce County Council Responding to the Final Decision and Order Issued by the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board in "James Halmo et al. v. Pierce County, Case No. 07-3-0004c," Regarding the Graham Community Plan and Implementing Regulations, and Technical Amendments to County-Wide Regulations and to Frederickson, Gig Harbor Peninsula, Parkland-Spanaway-Midland, and Upper Nisqually Regulations; Re-Adopting Exhibit A of Ordinance No. 2006-53s to Provide the Notice Required by the Decision; Amending Pierce County Code Section 19A.30.230, "Comprehensive Plan Land Use Designations Map," Chapter 19B.110, "The Graham Community Plan," Chapter 18A.17, "Pierce County (Outside Community Plan Areas) Use and Density/Dimension Tables," Chapter 18A.22, "Frederickson Use and Density/Dimension Tables," Chapter 18A.23, "Gig Harbor Peninsula Use and Density/Dimension Tables," Chapter 18A.28, "Parkland-Spanaway-Midland Use and Density/Dimension Tables," Chapter 18A.31, "Upper Nisqually Use and Density/Dimension Tables," Chapter 18A.33, "Zone Classifications," and the Pierce County Zoning Atlas; Adopting Findings of Fact; Establishing an Effective Date; and Declaring an Emergency.

Goings moved adoption; motion seconded. The Clerk read the title into the record.

Staff Testimony

Graham provided background information on the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board's final decision. Graham explained the Proposal is the County's attempt to comply with the public participation provisions. Graham reported the Proposal was heard in the Community Development Committee on October 22, 2007, and was referred to the Rules and Operations Committee at that meeting. The Rules and Operations Committee forwarded the Proposal to the full Council with a do pass as substituted recommendation on November 5, 2007. Graham noted that yesterday's committee amendments are included in the Substituted Proposal.

Bunney moved Council Amendment No. 1 on salmon; motion seconded. A copy of the amendment is identified as Attachment III to these minutes.

Graham explained the technical amendment.

The Chair clarified that the maps are the same discussed at and moved out of the November 5, 2007, Rules and Operations Committee; Graham concurred.

The Chair called for public testimony; there was no testimony on the amendment.

In response to the Goings' question, Graham explained the maps are to be inserted in Exhibit D, which are amendments to the Zoning Atlas.

The question was called; the motion carried.

Graham explained the necessity of the Emergency Ordinance.

The Chair called for public testimony on Proposal No. 2007-109s as amended.

The following individuals provided public testimony on the Proposal: Matt Hamilton, Graham Land Use Advisory Commission; Tom Benston (handout in Ordinance file); Bud Rehberg; Annette Durkin; Paul Barbano; Alton McDonald; Jerry Graham; and Rod Wetherbee.

At the Chair's request, Long responded to Benston's testimony. Sean Gaffney, Planning and Land Services Department, responded to Barbano's testimony and Graham's testimony. Graham responded to Wetherbee's testimony.

Goings' clarified that the Proposal will be effective when it is signed by the County Executive, but the permits will be filed until the invalidity is lifted; Graham concurred.

The Chair summarized the Proposal is the Council's intent to comply and remove invalidity so the County can receive State grants for transportation and park projects, and expressed his appreciation to staff for their work on the Proposal.

The question was called; the Proposal passed as amended on a roll call vote of four to two (Bush and Goings voted nay).

IX. Resolutions - None

X. Other Business/Announcements

1. Goings moved to amend the November 12-16, 2007, Meeting Schedule, to add the following meeting:

Please note: special meeting day and time

**•11:00 a.m. ECONOMIC AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
COMMITTEE**

Roger Bush, Chair
Terry Lee, Vice Chair
Shawn Bunney, Member
Calvin Goings, Member
Dick Muri, Member

Clerk: Kate Kennedy, (253) 798-7798

*Please note that this meeting will be broadcast live on
Channels 22 (Comcast), 78 (Rainier Connect) and 22 (Click! Network).*

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Approval of Agenda
4. Approval of Minutes
5. Proposal No. 2007-99, An Ordinance of the Pierce County Council Adopting a New Surface Water Management Fee Schedule and Amending the Funding Methodology; Amending Chapter 11.02 of the Pierce County Code, "Storm Drainage and Surface Water Management"; Adding a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Surcharge to Fund State Mandated Permit Requirements; Authorizing Foreclosure of Liens in the Manner Authorized by Revised Code of Washington 36.94.150 and 36.89.090; Eliminating the Rate Structure Sunset Clause; and Setting an Effective Date.
(Continued from the November 6, 2007, Economic and Infrastructure Development Committee)
Sponsored by: Councilmember Roger Bush
Department contact person: Harold Smelt, Public Works and Utilities,
(253) 798-2952
Council contact persons: Paul Bocchi, (253) 798-7304; and Jeff Cox
(253) 798-7579
6. Other Business
7. Adjournment

The motion was seconded. The question was called; motion carried.

XI. Citizens' Forum

1. Bud Rehberg suggested the Land Use Advisory Commissions review the proposals updating development regulations proposals in addition to the Planning Commission.
2. Robert Hill expressed his concerns regarding Resolution No. R2007-110, which awards Bureau of Justice Assistance grant funds for Public Safety and Judicial Services.
3. Alton McDonald expressed his concerns regarding the County Executive's personal stock investment in the Premeta drug treatment program.

XII. Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:47 p.m.

Attest:

Denise D. Johnson, Clerk of the Council

Approved:

Terry Lee, Council Chair

Date Approved

Pierce County

Office of the County Council
930 Tacoma Avenue So., Room 1046

Tacoma, WA 98402-2176
(253) 798-7777
FAX (253) 798-7509
1-800-992-2456

ATTACHMENT I

February 6, 2008

COUNCIL AMENDMENT 1
Blue

TO: Councilmembers

FROM: Councilmembers Goings, Bush, and Bunney

SUBJECT: Proposal No. 2007-85s Development Regulation Cleanup and Consistency Package

We recommend the following amendments to Exhibit E to Proposal No. 2007-85:

1. Beginning at line 28, page 2 of 6 of Exhibit A, add and modify the definition of "Net developable acreage" to better clarify deductions made for emergency vehicle access by adding text as follows:

"Net developable acreage" means the gross site acreage minus any public or private street rights-of-way, emergency vehicle accesses serving Single-Family Detached Housing or Two-Family Housing Use Types, and environmentally constrained lands. If an applicant is unable to meet minimum density utilizing the definition of "net developable acreage", critical area buffers may also be excluded from the net developable acre calculation, see 18A.35.020 C.6.

2. Beginning at line 27, page 1 of 35 of Exhibit B, add Footnote (2) from 18A.17.030 B.3. and modify the footnote for consistency with prior code changes and to allow the use of transfer of development rights as a method for achieving maximum density by striking and adding text as follows:

18A.17.030 Density and Dimension.

B. Tables.

3. **Footnotes to Tables.** This subsection pertains to the parenthetical numbers in Tables 18A.17.030 B.2.-1 and B.2.-2.
 - (2) **Maximum Density.** In an MSF classification sanitary sewers are required to achieve the maximum density. In all other classifications maximum densities shall be achieved through one of the following methods: the application of density incentives and exceptions (18A.35.020 C.), ~~planned-development districts, Small Lot Design Standards (Chapter 18J.17 PCC)~~ transfer of development rights, or planned unit developments. An applicant may plan for maximum density through shadow platting (see also 18J.15.020 F.).

3. Beginning at line 15, page 3 of 35 of Exhibit B, add Footnote (2) from 18A.22.030 B.3. and modify the footnote for consistency with prior code changes and to allow the use of transfer of development rights as a method for achieving maximum density by striking and adding text as follows:

18A.22.030 Density and Dimension.

B. Tables.

3. **Footnotes to Table.** This subsection pertains to the parenthetical numbers in Table 18A.22.030 B.2.-1.
 - (2) **Maximum Density.** In an MSF classification sanitary sewers are required to achieve the maximum density. In all other classifications maximum densities shall be achieved through one of the following methods: the application of density incentives and exceptions (18A.35.020 C.), ~~planned development districts, Small Lot Design Standards (Chapter 18J.17 PCC)~~ transfer of development rights, or planned unit developments. An applicant may plan for maximum density through shadow platting (see also 18J.15.020 F.).
4. Beginning at line 26, page 5 of 35 of Exhibit B, add Footnote (2) from 18A.23.030 B.3. and modify the footnote for consistency with prior code changes and to allow the use of transfer of development rights as a method for achieving maximum density by striking and adding text as follows:

18A.23.030 Density and Dimension.

B. Tables.

3. **Footnotes to Tables.** This subsection pertains to the parenthetical numbers in Tables 18A.23.030 B.2.-1 and B.2.-2.
 - (2) **Maximum Density.** In an MSF classification sanitary sewers are required to achieve the maximum density. In all other classifications maximum densities shall be achieved through one of the following methods: the application of density incentives and exceptions (18A.35.020 C.), ~~planned development districts, Small Lot Design Standards (Chapter 18J.17 PCC)~~ transfer of development rights, or planned unit developments. An applicant may plan for maximum density through shadow platting (see also 18J.15.020 F.).
5. Beginning at line 16, page 7 of 35 of Exhibit B, add Footnote (2) from 18A.27.030 B.3. and modify the footnote for consistency with prior code changes and to allow the use of transfer of development rights as a method for achieving maximum density by striking and adding text as follows:

18A.27.030 Density and Dimension.

B. Tables.

3. **Footnotes to Tables.** This subsection pertains to the parenthetical numbers in Tables 18A.27.030 B.2.-1 and B.2.-2.
 - (2) **Maximum Density.** In an MSF classification sanitary sewers are required to achieve the maximum density. In all other classifications maximum densities shall be achieved through one of the following methods: the application of density incentives and exceptions (18A.35.020 C.), ~~planned development districts, Small Lot Design Standards (Chapter 18J.17 PCC)~~

~~transfer of development rights~~, or planned unit developments. An applicant may plan for maximum density through shadow platting (see also 18J.15.020 F.).

6. Beginning at line 5, page 10 of 35 of Exhibit B, add Footnote (2) from 18A.28.030 B.3. and modify the footnote for consistency with prior code changes and to allow the use of transfer of development rights as a method for achieving maximum density by striking and adding text as follows:

18A.28.030 Density and Dimension.

B. Table.

3. **Footnotes to Tables.** This subsection pertains to the parenthetical numbers in Table 18A.28.030 B.2.-1.

(2) **Maximum Density.** In an MSF classification sanitary sewers are required to achieve the maximum density. In all other classifications maximum densities shall be achieved through one of the following methods: the application of density incentives and exceptions (18A.35.020 C.), ~~planned-development districts, Small Lot Design Standards (Chapter 18J.17 PCC)~~ ~~transfer of development rights~~, or planned unit developments. An applicant may plan for maximum density through shadow platting (see also 18J.15.020 F.).

7. Beginning at line 19, page 11 of 35 of Exhibit B, add Footnote (2) from 18A.29.030 B.3. and modify the footnote for consistency with prior code changes and to allow the use of transfer of development rights as a method for achieving maximum density by striking and adding text as follows:

18A.29.030 Density and Dimension.

B. Table.

3. **Footnotes to Tables.** This subsection pertains to the parenthetical numbers in Table 18A.29.030 B.2.-1.

(2) **Maximum Density.** In an MSF classification sanitary sewers are required to achieve the maximum density. In all other classifications maximum densities shall be achieved through one of the following methods: the application of density incentives and exceptions (18A.35.020 C.), ~~planned-development districts, Small Lot Design Standards (Chapter 18J.17 PCC)~~ ~~transfer of development rights~~, or planned unit developments. An applicant may plan for maximum density through shadow platting (see also 18J.15.020 F.).

8. Beginning at line 5, page 14 of 35 of Exhibit B, add Footnote (2) from 18A.31.030 B.3. and modify the footnote for consistency with prior code changes and to allow the use of transfer of development rights as a method for achieving maximum density by striking and adding text as follows:

18A.31.030 Density and Dimension.

B. Table.

3. **Footnotes to Tables.** This subsection pertains to the parenthetical numbers in Table 18A.31.030 B.2.-1.

(2) **Maximum Density.** In an MSF classification sanitary sewers are required to

achieve the maximum density. In all other classifications maximum densities shall be achieved through one of the following methods: the application of density incentives and exceptions (18A.35.020 C.), ~~planned development districts, Small Lot Design Standards (Chapter 18J.17 PCC) transfer of development rights,~~ or planned unit developments. An applicant may plan for maximum density through shadow platting (see also 18J.15.020 F.).

9. Beginning at line 1, page 35 of 35 of Exhibit B, modify the applicability statement under the Outdoor Stockpile Standards to clarify that the standards do not apply to surface mines or large scale commercial centers by striking text and adding text as follows:

18A.35.165 Outdoor Stockpile Standards

- B. **Applicability.** The following provisions shall apply to all outdoor stockpiles exceeding 10 cubic yards in size. Expansion or other modifications of existing stockpiles shall be processed in accordance with the provisions of Section 18A.05.035 or the establishment of new uses in accordance with Chapter 18A.17 – Use Tables. The standards set forth in subsection C. below shall apply only to the portion of the facilities expanded or modified, and shall only apply to the extent the expansion or modification directly increases the impact to which the standard is addressed. This Section does not apply to:
1. Temporary stockpiles that are created during the course of normal construction where materials are stockpiled on a site for less than six months;
 2. Surface mines operating under a valid special use permit or Department of Natural Resources Reclamation Permit; or
 3. Commercial/retail centers (Commercial Centers Use Type) having site areas of 15 acres or larger in size.

10. Strike lines 6 through 29 at page 1 of 4 of Exhibit D in their entirety. The effect of this amendment is to leave Chapter 18F.30 as it currently exists within code. No modifications to Chapter 18F.30 are proposed.

11. Beginning at line 24, page 2 of 23 of Exhibit E, modify text to clarify that mineral extraction is a Resource Use exempt from native vegetation requirements by adding text as follows:

18J.15.030 Urban and Rural Impervious Surfaces and Native Vegetation Retention Standards and Guidelines.

These standards shall apply to the following types of development proposals: single-family attached, multi-family, civic, utility, commercial, industrial, land divisions, use permits and site development permits. Resource uses where the principal use of the property is for animal, crop or forestry production (i.e. the growing, raising, or harvesting of resources) or mineral extraction (i.e. surface mines) shall not be subject to these standards. This Section contains a minimum level of standards that are applied countywide, there may be additional standards contained within individual community plan Chapters and the more restrictive standards shall apply.

12. Beginning at line 41, page 14 of 23 of Exhibit E, add text from 18J.50.080 B. (Urban Village) and modify text to resolve potential conflicts with other areas of by striking and adding text as follows:

18J.50.080 Building Design and Placement.

B. Urban Village (UV).

1. **Design Objective - Building Placement.** Buildings must be close to the street to encourage pedestrian interaction and usage.

a. **Height Standards.**

(1) Buildings shall not exceed 70 feet in height.

b. **Building Placement and Compatibility Standards.**

(1) Buildings shall be setback no greater than ~~20~~ 30 feet from all property lines abutting rights-of-way. Where building placement within ~~20~~ 30 feet of property lines abutting right-of-way is not possible due to the presence of the utility easement which prohibits building construction in this area and it is determined that the easement cannot be relocated, the setback may be increased to the minimum distance needed to locate the building outside of the easement area. Only those easements granted to a public entity or a private electric, natural gas, water, or telecommunications provider shall be considered by the Department in determining whether or not to grant the setback increase.

(2) Seventy-five percent of building face that is directed toward the street must be within ~~20~~ 30 feet of one property line adjacent to the right-of-way, except as provided in subsection (1) above.

Pierce County

Office of the County Council

930 Tacoma Avenue So., Room 1046
Tacoma, WA 98402-2176
(253) 798-7777
FAX (253) 798-7509
1-800-992-2456

ATTACHMENT II

February 6, 2008

COUNCIL AMENDMENT 2
White

TO: Councilmembers

FROM: Research Staff

SUBJECT: Proposal No. 2007-85s Development Regulation Cleanup and Consistency Package –
Technical Amendment

Staff recommends the following amendments to Proposal No. 2007-85s:

1. At line 15, page 3 of 3 of the Ordinance, insert a new Section 8. specifying the effective date as follows:

"Section 8. This ordinance shall become effective on April 1, 2008."

2. At line 20, page 2 of 3 of the Ordinance insert the dates of the Community Development Committee hearings as "September 17 and September 24".

Pierce County

Office of the County Council

930 Tacoma Avenue So., Room 1046
Tacoma, WA 98402-2176
(253) 798-
FAX (253) 798-7509
1-800-992-2456

ATTACHMENT III

February 6, 2008

COUNCIL AMENDMENT NO. 1 Salmon

TO: Councilmembers

FROM: Council Staff

SUBJECT: **PROPOSED TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO
EMERGENCY ORDINANCE NO. 2007-109s**

We recommend the Council approve the following amendments to Proposed Ordinance No. 2007-109s to ensure internal consistency and add findings:

1. After page 1 of Exhibit D, Amendments to the Official Pierce County Zoning Atlas, insert the attached two maps. *[Note: please contact the Council Clerk for an electronic copy of the maps]*
2. On page 2 of Exhibit E, Findings, line 12, insert the following findings:

"10. Although this Ordinance was determined to meet the definition of an Emergency pursuant to the County's Charter, the Council determined that public notice was of utmost importance for the hearing process. All affected property owners, the Graham Community Planning Board, and interested parties included on the lists used for the Graham Plan adoption, were mailed notice of the hearings. In addition, notice was provided on the Council's web page.

11. The Community Development Committee held a hearing on the Ordinance on October 22, 2007, and after taking public testimony, decided that further public participation and consideration was needed. The Committee re-referred the proposal to the Rules Committee.

12. On November 5, 2007, the Rules and Operations Committee held a public hearing on the Ordinance, adopted an amendment that provided the new logical outer boundaries for the Graham Rural Activity Center, heard public testimony and forwarded the substitute Ordinance to the full Council with a do pass recommendation.

13. At the November 5, 2007 public hearing, additional evidence was provided to support the commercial status of one of the parcels near the 224th and Meridian center of the Rural Activity Center (parcel 041815-2019, 9.69 acres), helping to make the center well-rounded and bordered on the east by parcels meeting the LAMIRD criteria.
14. The new logical outer boundaries for the Graham Rural Activity Center (136 acres) complies with the LAMIRD criteria by: (1) having roads as boundaries to the south, (2) having railroad lines as boundaries to the southwest, (3) creating a focused center on 224th and Meridian with a majority of the parcels having evidence of commercial activity on July 1990, (4) reducing the amount of vacant land, (5) reducing the distance from the north boundary to the urban growth line, and (6) reducing the overall size of the center.
15. The recalculation of acreage involved in the redesignations approved by this Ordinance resulted in numbers slightly different than originally estimated in the Graham Plan because measurements using the geographical information system treat roads and right-of-way differently and because of rounding.
16. On November 6, 2007, the Emergency Ordinance was adopted by the County Council after taking public testimony in a public hearing.
17. The County's Prosecuting Attorney is requested to submit this legal action to the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board with a request for an expedited compliance hearing as soon as possible."

lm

Attachments

c: Denise Johnson, Clerk of the Council

N:\WPFILES\LMEDLEY\2007-109s cou amd1 mem.doc